

# ERASMUS+

## 2015 Dönemi Nihai Raporları

Ercan LAÇİN  
Türkiye Ulusal Ajansı

3 Temmuz 2015, Çankırı

## IV. Yetersiz, Kısmi, ya da Geç Uygulama Durumunda Hibe Kesintisi İle İlgili Kural ve Şartlar

- Merkez tarafından projenin yetersiz, kısmi ya da geç uygulanıp uygulanmadığına yararlanıcının sunduğu nihai rapora bağlı olarak karar verebilir,
- Nihai rapor kalite kriterlerine göre değerlendirilecek ve 100 tam puan üzerinden puanlandırılacaktır. Nihai raporun aldığı puan toplamda 50'nin altındaysa, Merkez raporlanan tüm faaliyetler uygun ve fiilen yerine getirilmiş olsa bile, eylemin yetersiz, kısmi ya da geç uygulanması sebebiyle nihai kurumsal destek hibe miktarında kesinti uygulayabilir.
- Kurumsal desteğe ilişkin nihai uygun harcama miktarına, yetersiz, kısmi ya da geç uygulanması durumunda aşağıdaki oranlarda hibe kesintisi uygulayabilir;
  - Nihai raporun puanı en az 40 ve 50'den az ise %25
  - Nihai raporun puanı en az 25 ve 40'den az ise %50
  - Nihai raporun puanı 25'den az ise %75

# Proje Nihai Raporlarında Puanlama

- 100 üzerinden değerlendirme
- 75 puan üzeri: proje nicelik ve nitelik olarak en iyi örnekler arasındadır
- 50-75 puan arası: proje ortalama seviyededir
- 50 puan altı: ECHE prensiplerine uyumda ve proje uygulamasında ciddi problemler

# Değerlendirme Kriterleri

1. Proje uygulanmasının kalitesi: niceliksel hedefler: ECHE taahhütleri çerçevesinde niceliksel hedeflere ne kadar uyum sağlanmıştır? (40 Puan)

1.1 hareketlilik projesi ECHE belgesinde belirtilen gerekliliklere uyum sağlamakta mıdır?

- Katılımcılara verilen destek (bilgilendirme, hazırlık, izleme ve seçim)
- Dil desteği
- Öğrenim çıktılarının tanınması

*Bu sorunun değerlendirmesinde «description of the Project», «implementation of the Project», «participant feedback on Erasmus Charter Provisions», «compliance with the Erasmus Charter for Higher Education» bölümleri incelenecektir. 30 puan*

## 4. Description of the Project

In this section, you are asked to give information about the objectives met by your project.

Were all original quantitative and qualitative objectives of the project met, as described in the grant agreement and the Erasmus Charter for Higher Education? If not, please explain why they could not be achieved. Please also describe achievements exceeding the expectations, if relevant.

## 5. Implementation of the Project

### 5.1. Practical Arrangements and Project Management

How were quality and management issues related to transnational partners (e.g., setting up of inter-institutional agreements with partners, information, communication) addressed during the project phases (before, during and after mobility activities) and by whom?

How were quality and management issues related to participants (e.g., information, selection, update of the course catalogue, preparation of learning and grant agreements with participants, academic recognition) addressed and by whom?

What kind of preparation was offered to the participants (e.g., task-related, intercultural, linguistic, risk prevention)? Who provided such preparatory activities?

Please detail how monitoring and/or support of outbound and inbound participants were carried out during the activities.

Please describe any problem(s) or difficulty you encountered during the project and the solutions(s) applied.

## 5.2. Linguistic Support

Please add the number of licences used by your participants (as indicated in OLS):

No. of used licences for Online Linguistic Assessment

No. of used licences for Online Language Courses

Which measures did you take to encourage the use of language course licenses by the participants?(multiple selection possible)

Select a value

If other, please explain

What percentage of the selected participants who had taken the 1st assessment test finally dropped out from taking part in the mobility?

 %

If relevant, do you intend to review the timing when you allocate the assessment licences to your students so as to minimise this percentage?

Yes  No

Did you include in the grant agreement with your students the optional Article 6.3 "the payment of the final instalment of the financial support is subject to the submission of the compulsory online assessment at the end of the mobility"?

Yes  No

Any other comments on the OLS

## 5.3. Other Support

Did you use parts for the organisational support grant for the linguistic and inter-cultural preparation of students (and staff, where relevant)?

Yes  No

Please explain which other means you used to support linguistic and inter-cultural preparation of participants.

# Değerlendirme Kriterleri

1.2 Giden ve gelen katılımcıların hareketlilikten sonraki memnuniyet dereceleri ve bunun yararlanıcı kurumun açıklamalarıyla olan uyumu

*Bu sorunun değerlendirmesinde «feedback on general issues» bölümü incelenecektir. 10 puan*

## 8.2. Feedback on General Issues

### 1. Grant payments

Activity Type	Number of students that submitted a final participant report and that received an EU grant	% of students who stated that they received the EU grant payments in time, in line with the dates mentioned in the grant agreement
1.1. Student mobility for studies (outbound)	52	59.62%
1.2. Student mobility for traineeships (outbound)	32	96.88%
1.3. Total	84	73.81%

Please comment and explain the results and, if necessary, explain how you intend to improve them:

### 2. Overall satisfaction of outbound and inbound participants

Activity Type	Number of students that submitted a final participant report	% of students who were very satisfied or rather satisfied with their mobility experience in general
2.1 Student mobility for studies (outbound)	53	92.45%
2.2. Student mobility for traineeships (outbound)	33	96.97%
2.3. Total	86	94.19%

Activity Type	Number of students that submitted a final participant report	% of students who were very satisfied or rather satisfied with their mobility experience in general
2.4. Student mobility for studies (inbound)	14	100.00%

Activity Type	Number of staff that submitted a final participant report	% of staff who were very satisfied or rather satisfied with their mobility experience in general
2.5. Staff mobility for teaching (outbound)	36	100.00%
2.6. Staff mobility for training (outbound)	11	100.00%
2.7 total (outbound)	47	100.00%

Activity Type	Number of staff that submitted a final participant report	% of staff who were very satisfied or rather satisfied with their mobility experience in general
2.8. Staff mobility for teaching (inbound)	19	100.00%



# Değerlendirme Kriterleri

2. Proje uygulamasının kalitesi- niteliksel hedefler: projenin niteliksel hedeflerine ne kadar ulaşılabilmıştır? (40 Puan)

2.1 Hibe Sözleşmesinde planlanan hareketlilik hedeflerine ne ölçüde ulaşılabilmıştır?

*Bu sorunun değerlendirmesinde «description of the project», «overview of activities» bölümleri incelenecektir. 30 puan*

## 6. Activities

### 6.1. Overview of Activities

Activity Type	No. of Participants Planned in the grant agreement	Average Duration Planned in the grant agreement			Achieved No. of Participants			Average Duration Achieved			Total Duration Achieved		
		Duration (full months)	Duration (extra days)	Duration (days)	Funded with EU funds	Zero-grant	Total Participants	Duration (full months)	Duration (extra days)	Duration (days)	Duration (full months)	Duration (extra days)	Duration (days)
Student mobility for traineeships between Programme Countries	13	3	2.31	-	33	0	33	2	21.27	-	89	12	-
Student mobility for studies between Programme Countries	83	5	29.34	-	53	0	53	7	9.67	-	388	13	-
Staff mobility for teaching between Programme Countries	16	-	-	4.13	36	0	36	-	-	2.00	-	-	72
Staff mobility for training between Programme Countries	9	-	-	4.00	10	1	11	-	-	2.00	-	-	22

	No. of Participants Planned in the grant agreement	Average Duration Planned in the grant agreement			No. of Participants Achieved	Average Duration Achieved		
		Duration (full months)	Duration (extra days)	Duration (days)		Duration (full months)	Duration (extra days)	Duration (days)
Students	96	5	17.55	-	86	5	16.69	-
Staff	25	-	-	4.08	47	-	-	2.00
Total	121	5	17.55	4.08	133	5	16.69	2.00

# Değerlendirme Kriterleri

2. Proje uygulamasının kalitesi- niteliksel hedefler: projenin niteliksel hedeflerine ne kadar ulaşılabilmıştır? (40 Puan)

2.2 Hibe Sözleşmesinde belirtilen bütçe efektif bir şekilde kullanılmış mıdır?

*Bu sorunun değerlendirmesinde «budget» bölümü incelenecektir. 10 puan*

## 14. Budget

### 14.1. Budget Summary

Activity Type	Travel		Individual Support		Special Needs' Support		Total Amount	
	Granted	Reported	Granted	Reported	Granted	Reported	Granted	Reported
Student mobility for traineeships between Programme Countries	0.00	0.00	20,000.00	45,168.00	0.00	0.00	20,000.00	45,168.00
Student mobility for studies between Programme Countries	0.00	0.00	198,450.00	136,115.00	0.00	0.00	198,450.00	136,115.00
Staff mobility for teaching between Programme Countries	5,760.00	11,600.00	7,890.00	16,560.00	0.00	0.00	13,650.00	28,160.00
Staff mobility for training between Programme Countries	3,240.00	3,345.00	3,960.00	3,996.00	0.00	0.00	7,200.00	7,341.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>9,000.00</b>	<b>14,945.00</b>	<b>230,300.00</b>	<b>201,839.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>239,300.00</b>	<b>216,784.00</b>

	OS Granted	Total Amount (Calculated)	Total Amount (Adjusted)	Budget Transfer
Organisational Support	41,200.00	41,200.00	0.00	0

## 14.2. Project Total Amount

Project Total Amount Granted	90,100.00
Project Total Amount Reported	59,854.00

Please comment and explain the difference, if any, between the granted and reported budget.

# Değerlendirme Kriterleri

## 3. Etki ve yaygınlaştırma (20 Puan)

3.1 «Öğrenim çıktıları ve etkisi» bölümünde belirtildiği şekliyle beklenen etkilerin uygunluğu

*10 puan*

3.2 «Proje çıktılarının yaygınlaştırılması» bölümünde belirtildiği şekliyle yaygınlaştırma faaliyetlerinin uygunluğu

*10 puan*

## 10. Learning Outcomes and Impact

### 10.1. Learning Outcomes

#### 1. Competences acquired and improved for students:

Competence	% of students who reported an improvement	
	1.1. SMS - Student mobility for studies (outbound)	1.2. SMP - Student mobility for traineeships (outbound)
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: cooperate in teams	75%	100%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: develop an idea and put it into practice	50%	100%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: express myself creatively	100%	100%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: find solutions in difficult or challenging contexts (problem-solving skills)	100%	33.33%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: plan and carry out my learning independently	100%	100%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: plan and organise tasks and activities	100%	100%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: see the value of different cultures	100%	100%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: think logically and draw conclusions (analytical skills)	50%	100%
Through my stay abroad with Erasmus+, I learned better how to...: use the internet, social media and PCs , e.g. for my studies, work and personal activities	100%	66.67%

% of students who reported an improvement

## 10.2. Impact

Please describe any further impact (per activity type and on the whole) on the participants, participating organisation(s) (in case of a consortium), target groups and other relevant stakeholders. In particular, describe the project's impact on your institution(s) in line with your institutional strategy(ies), the Erasmus Policy Statement and the Higher Education modernisation agenda.

To what extent have your institution(s) increased its capacity to co-operate at European/international level?

Please describe the wider impact of the project on organisations and individuals outside your organisation(s) at local, regional, national, European and/or international levels.

## 11. Dissemination of Project Results

Which results of your project were worth sharing? Please provide concrete examples of good practices and success stories related to the mobility activities.

Please describe the activities carried out to share the results of your project inside and outside your organisation(s). What were the target groups of your dissemination activities?

If applicable, please give examples of how the participants have shared their experience with peers within or outside your organisation.



# Doğruluk Beyanı

## Annexes

In this section, you need to attach additional documents that are mandatory for the completion of the report;

Attachments quota usage

0%

Please note that all documents mentioned below need to be attached here before you submit your form online.

Before submitting your report to the National Agency, please check that:

- All necessary information on your project have been encoded in Mobility Tool+
- The report form has been completed using one of the official languages of the Erasmus+ Programme Countries.
- You have annexed all the relevant documents:
- The Declaration of Honour signed by the legal representative of the beneficiary organisation.
- The necessary supporting documents as requested in the grant agreement.
- you have saved or printed the copy of the completed form for yourself.

[DOWNLOAD Declaration of Honour](#)

### List of uploaded files

no uploaded files yet

### Add more files

Select File

# TEŞEKKÜR EDERİZ.

TÜRKİYE ULUSAL AJANSI ile  
herkes için Erasmus+



[www.ua.gov.tr](http://www.ua.gov.tr)



/ulusalajans